		· ,			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10) Applicant(s): Masato Mizukami et al.			Docket No. 14321.57		
Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	
10/648,822	08/26/2003	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	022913	2839	
OPTICAL BLOCK I hereby certify that the second seco	he following corresponde	PARATUS, OPTICAL FIBER CORD TION DEVICE, AND OPTICAL CON ence: use to Restriction Requirement and Ele ling Label No. EV 485 807 555 US.	INECTOR PLUG	ALIGNMENT	
1 6 7 7 1	<u>•</u>	Identify type of correspondence)			
is being deposited w	rith the United States Pos	stal Service "Express Mail Post Office	to Addressee" s	ervice under 37	
CFR 1.10 in an enve	elope addressed to: Com	missioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450	, Alexandria, VA	22313-1450 on	
	September 27, 2004 (Date)				
		(Signaluse of Person Mail EV 485 80	on Mailing Correspond iling Correspondence) 77 555 US	dence)	

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicant(s): Masato Mizukami et al.						Docket No. 14321.57		
Application No. 10/648,822	Filing Date 08/26/2003	Examiner PRASAD, CHANDRI	IKA	Customer N 022913	lo. Group A 283		Confirmation No. 4584	
Invention: OPTICAL CONNECTOR PLUG, OPTICAL CONNECTOR PLUG CONNECTING METHOD, OPTICAL FIBER CORD RETRACTING METHOD, OPTICAL FIBER CORD RETRACTION DEVICE, AND OPTICAL CONNECTOR PLUG ALIGNMENT								
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.								
		CLAIMS AS AM	ENDED)				
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST # PREV. PAID FOR		ER EXTRA	RATE		ADDITIONAL FEE	
TOTAL CLAIMS	19 -	20 =		0	x \$18.0	00	\$0.00	
INDEP. CLAIMS	8 -	8 =		0	x \$86.0	00	\$0.00	
Multiple Depender	t Claims (check if appl	licable)				•	\$0.00	
		TOTAL ADDITIONAL F	EE FO	R THIS AME	ENDMENT		\$0.00	
No additional fee is required for amendment. ☐ Please charge Deposit Account No. in the amount of ☐ A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed. ☐ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 23-3178 ☐ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16. ☐ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17. ☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Dated: September 27, 2004 ERIC M. KAMERATH Registration No. 46,081								
Customer No. 022	•		the Un class m P.O. Bo on	ited States Ponail in an envelox 1450, Alexan	ostal Service of ope addressed of the condition of the co	with suffi to "Com 3-1450" ing Corre		

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No. 14531.57

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.: EV 485 807 555 US

In re application of)
	Masato Mizukami et al.))
Serial No.:	10/648,822))
Filed:	August 26, 2003))
Conf No.:	4584)) Art Unit) 2839
For:	OPTICAL CONNECTOR PLUG, OPTICAL CONNECTOR PLUG CONNECTING METHOD, OPTICAL FIBER CROSS-CONNECTING APPARATUS, OPTICAL FIBER CORD RETRACTING METHOD, OPTICAL FIBER CORD RETRACTION DEVICE, AND OPTICAL CONNECTOR PLUG ALIGNMENT BLOCK)
Examiner:	Chandrika Prasad	<i>)</i>)
Customer No.:	022913))

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated August 27, 2004, Applicants elect Group III, consisting of claims 8-10 and the species corresponding to Figures 1-7, with traverse.

Claims 8-10 are directed to a cross-connect apparatus that includes a connector plug, a retracting device, and an alignment block. Claims 1-5 (Group I) are directed to a connector plug; claims 13-18 (Group V) are directed to a retracting device; and claim 19 (Group VI) is directed

Application No. 10/648,822 Response dated September 27, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 27, 2004

an alignment block. Method claims 6-7 (Group II) and method claims 11-12 (Group IV) generally correspond to the connector plug claims and retracting device claims, respectively.

Applicants respectfully submit that the restriction requirement is improper because searching all claims in one application does not represent a "serious burden" on the Examiner. MPEP § 803 ("Restriction – When Proper"). In conducting a search for the elected claims 8-10 of Group III, the Examiner will necessarily need to search for the limitations present in those claims, including the recited connector plug, retracting device /means, and alignment block. Although the recited limitations in claims 8-10 are not necessarily identical with those recited in claims 1-5, 13-18, and 19, Applicants respectfully submit that they are sufficiently close in subject matter that searching claims 1-5, 13-18, and 19 with claims 8-10 does not represent a serious burden, as required in section 803 of the MPEP, particularly given the relatively small number of pending claims. A similar argument can be made for the remaining method claims, claims 6-7 and claims 11-12, since they are directed to an optical connector plug connecting method and an optical fiber cord retracting method, respectively. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the restriction requirement should be withdrawn, or at a minimum broadened to include all of the device claims (Group I: claims 1-5, Group III: claims 8-10, Group V: claims 13-18, and Group VI: claim 19).

Applicants note that the limitations in claims 1-10, which includes all claims making reference to a connector plug, are generic with respect to the two species (Figures 1-7 and Figure 8) identified in the restriction requirement.

Dated this 27th day of September 27, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC M. KAMERATH

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 46,081

Customer No. 022913

EMK:kc KC0000003173V001